N2—C2 C8—N1 C8—C9	1.387 (2) 1.304 (2) 1.488 (3)	C5—C6 C10—F	1.378 (3) 1.331 (4)	
C9—S—C1 N2—C1—C10 N2—C1—S C10—C1—S C8—N2—C2 C8—N2—C1 C2—N2—C1 N1—C8—N2 N1—C8—C9 N2—C8—C9 C8—C9—S C3—C2—N2	96.69 (9) 111.71 (10) 102.52 (12) 108.60 (10) 106.76 (14) 120.38 (15) 132.87 (15) 114.6 (2) 130.4 (2) 115.0 (2) 105.40 (13) 133.5 (2)	$\begin{array}{c} C3-C2-C7\\ N2-C2-C7\\ N1-C7-C6\\ N1-C7-C2\\ C6-C7-C2\\ C8-N1-C7\\ C4-C3-C2\\ C3-C4-C5\\ C6-C5-C4\\ C5-C6-C7\\ F-C10-C1\\ \end{array}$	122.4 (2) 104.2 (2) 129.8 (2) 110.7 (2) 119.5 (2) 103.82 (15) 117.0 (2) 121.4 (2) 121.6 (2) 118.1 (2) 111.8 (2)	
Symmetry code: (i) $x, \frac{1}{2} - y, z$ .				

The structure was solved by direct methods and refined using full-matrix least-squares techniques with all non-H atoms anisotropic. The H atoms were located from difference maps and refined isotropically. The C—H bonds range from 1.05 (4) to 0.94 (2) Å, while  $U_{eq}$  ranges from 2 (1) to 5.9 (5) Å<sup>2</sup>. The space-group determination was a little difficult as there was a choice between  $P_{21}$  or  $P_{21}/m$  and neither the systematic absences nor statistical calculations based on the absolute value of  $E^2-1$  could determine which was correct. The best results were obtained in the centrosymmetric space group with the F atom and H10*C* occupancy factors set to 0.5. This is because the central part of the molecule lies on a symmetry mirror plane and the —CH<sub>2</sub>F and the —CH<sub>3</sub> groups are positioned symmetrically on either side of the molecule, thus generating the crystallographic disorder.

All calculations were performed on a MicroVAX 3400 and on a DEC-alpha 3000/400.

Data collection: P3/V Software (Siemens, 1989). Cell refinement: P3/V Software. Data reduction: SHELXTL-Plus (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to solve structure: SIR92 (Altomare et al., 1994). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: SHELXTL-Plus. Software used to prepare material for publication: PARST95 (Nardelli, 1995) and SHELXL93.

We thank the Italian MURST and the Centro Diffrattometria a Raggi-X of the University of Messina, Italy.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: NA1235). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

# References

- Allen, F. H., Davies, J. E., Galloy, J. J., Johnson, O., Kennard, O., Macrae, C. F., Mitchell, E. M., Mitchell, G. F., Smith, J. M. & Watson, D. G. (1991). J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 31, 187–204.
- Altomare, A., Cascarano, O., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Burla, M. C., Polidori, G. & Camalli, M. (1994). J. Appl. Cryst. 27, 435– 436.
- Bruno, G., Chimirri, A., Grasso, S., Molica, C., Monforte, A. M., Monforte, P., Nicoló, F. & Zappalá, M. (1996). J. Med. Chem. 39. In the press.
- Buckheit, R. W., Hollingshead, M. G., Germany-decker, J., White, E. L., McMahon, J. B., Allen, L. B., Ross, J. P., Decker, W. D., Westbrook, L., Shannon, W. M., Weislow, O., Bader, J. P. & Boyd, M. R. (1993). Antivir. Res. 21, 247-265.

- Chimirri, A., Grasso, S., Molica, C., Monforte, A. M., Monforte, P., Scopelliti, R. & Zappalá, M. (1996). *Il Farmaco*, 51. In the press.
- Chimirri, A., Grasso, S., Monforte, A. M., Monforte, P. & Zappalá, M. (1991a). Il Farmaco, 44, 817-823.
- Chimirri, A., Grasso, S., Monforte, A. M., Monforte, P. & Zappalá, M. (1991b). Il Farmaco, 44, 925–933.
- J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98, 1920-1926.
- In, Y., Fujimori, Y., Ohishi, H., Ishida, T., Inoue, M., Sato, F., Okitsu, M. & Ohno, T. (1990). Acta Cryst. C46, 301-303.
- Kopfmann, G. & Huber, R. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 348-351.
- Monforte, P., Monforte, A. M., Zappalá, M., Romeo, G., Grasso, S. & Chimirri, A. (1993). US Patent 5,217,984.
- Nardelli, M. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 659.
- Scafer, W., Friebe, W. G., Leinert, H., Mertens, A., Poll, T., von der Saal, W., Zilch, H., Nuber, B. & Ziegler, M. L. (1993). J. Med. Chem. 36, 726–732.
- Schultz, R. J., Bader, J. P., Chimirri, A., Covey, J. M., Hill, D. L., Haugwitz, R. D., Guziec, F. S. & Narayanan, V. L. (1992). Proc. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res. 33, 517.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). SHELXTL-Plus. Release 4.21/V. Siemens Analytical Instruments Inc, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1989). P3/V Software. Siemens Analytical Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 2533-2535

# 1-[3,5-Bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1*H*,3*H*-thiazolo[3,4-*a*]benzimidazole

GIUSEPPE BRUNO,<sup>a</sup> ANNA MARIA MONFORTE,<sup>b</sup> FRANCESCO NICOLÓ,<sup>a</sup> ROSARIO SCOPELLITI<sup>a</sup> AND MARIA ZAPPALÁ<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Dipartimento di Chimica Inorganica, Chimica Analitica e Chimica Fisica, Universitá di Messina, 98166 Vill. Sant'Agata, Messina, Italy, and <sup>b</sup>Dipartimento Farmaco-Chimico, Universitá di Messina, 98168 Viale Annunziata, Messina, Italy. E-mail: bruno@medif0.unime.it

(Received 29 March 1996; accepted 15 May 1996)

#### Abstract

The crystal structure of the title compound,  $C_{17}H_{10}$ -F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>2</sub>S, has been determined. The thiazole ring fused with the benzimidazole system is in an envelope conformation, while the two trifluoromethyl groups at positions 3 and 5 of the phenyl substituent are dynamically disordered because of their rotation along the  $Csp^3$ —  $Csp^2$  bond.

# Comment

In the course of our studies on new anti-HIV agents, we reported the synthesis and anti-HIV activity of a series of 1-aryl-1H,3H-thiazolo[3,4-a]benzimidazoles, a new class of non-nucleoside HIV-1 reverse transcriptase

inhibitors (Chimirri, Grasso, Monforte, Monforte & Zappalá, 1991a,b; Bruno et al. 1996). In this paper, we report the results of the X-ray structure determination of 1-(3.5-ditrifluoromethylphenyl)-1H,3H-thiazolo[3,4albenzimidazole, (I), as part of a wider structural and pharmacological study on thiazolo[3,4-a]benzimidazole derivatives aimed at obtaining information concerning the effect on anti-HIV activity of the nature and position of the substituents on the aromatic ring at C1.



The title compound, (I), has a chiral centre at C1. Thus, in the crystalline state described by the centrosymmetric space group  $P2_1/c$ , we have a perfect racemic mixture. Fig. 1 shows the R enantiomer and the atom-numbering scheme. The benzimidazole system is planar owing to the wide electron  $\pi$  delocalization, confirmed by the sums of the valency angles around N2 and C8 [359.8 (2) and 360.0 (2)°, respectively] and by the bond lengths involving the N atoms of the imidazole ring [C8-N1 = 1.312(3), N2-C8 = 1.360(3), N2-CC2 = 1.385(3), C7—N1 = 1.394(3)Å] which are intermediate between expected C=N and C-N values. This electron delocalization extends its influence to the C1 and C9 atoms as can be seen from the torsion angles C9-C8-N1-C7 [-176.8 (3)°] and C1-N2-C2-C3  $[-3.8(5)^{\circ}]$  and the bond distance C8-C9 [1,478 (4) Å]. The ring puckering parameters (Cremer & Pople, 1975) for the thiazole prove the envelope conformation  $[\varphi = -1.1 (9)^{\circ}]$  with the S atom displaced by 0.350(1) Å from the weighted least-squares plane defined by the remaining atoms of the ring.

The 3,5-ditrifluoromethylphenyl group linked through C10 to C1 is rotated with respect to the plane through all atoms except S of the thiazolobenzimidazole system, as



Fig. 1. View of the title compound with displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. For clarity, only the higher occupancy positions of the CF<sub>3</sub> groups are shown.

indicated by the angle of  $73.14(6)^{\circ}$  between the phenyl group and the central part of the molecule; the molecule therefore assumes a butterfly-like conformation. This arrangement decreases the steric effects and maximizes the intermolecular hydrogen interactions mentioned below. The crystal packing is mainly determined by van der Waals interactions and by weak hydrogen bonds involving the H11 atom bonded to C11 and the N1 atom of an adjacent molecule  $[H11 \cdots N1^{1} = 2.461,$  $C11 \cdots N1^{i} = 3.379$  (3),  $C11 - H11 \cdots N1^{i} = 169.3$  (3), (i) 2-x, 1-y, 1-z; the molecules are related by an inversion centre and show the typical head-to-tail disposition.

The F atoms of the disordered trifluoromethyl groups are involved in several weak hydrogen-bonding interactions  $[F \cdot \cdot H \text{ contacts ranging from } 2.25(2)$  to 2.98(2) Å but these are not able to hinder the rotation. As a result of this rotational disorder, the C—F bond distances are not well determined [1.230 (10)-1.377 (9) Å].



Fig. 2. Packing in the unit cell viewed down c. Dotted lines show the hydrogen-bonding interactions and cross-hatched circles indicate N atoms.

# **Experimental**

The title compound was obtained as described earlier (Chimirri et al., 1991b) and recrystallized from ethanol.

## Crystal data

C

$C_{17}H_{10}F_6N_2S$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 388.33$	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$
Monoclinic	Cell parameters fro
$P2_1/c$	reflections
a = 8.281(2)  Å	$\theta = 5 - 15^{\circ}$
b = 20.914 (4) Å	$\mu = 0.264 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 9.549(2) Å	T = 293 (2) K
$\beta = 97.46(2)^{\circ}$	Prismatic
V = 1639.8 (6) Å <sup>3</sup>	$0.20 \times 0.12 \times 0.03$
Z = 4	Colourless
$D_{\rm r} = 1.573 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$	
$D_m$ not measured	

Data collection

Siemens R3m/V diffractometer

 $\omega/2\theta$  scans

m 35 8 mm

1839 observed reflections  $[I > \sigma(I)]$  $R_{\rm int} = 0.0181$ 

Absorption correction:  $\psi$  scan (Kopfmann & Huber, 1968)  $T_{min} = 0.708, T_{max} =$ 0.831 3467 measured reflections 2577 independent reflections

Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Extinction correction:
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.0377$	SHELXL93 (Sheldrick,
$wR(F^2) = 0.0860$	1993)
S = 0.964	Extinction coefficient:
1839 reflections	0.0053 (8)
291 parameters	Atomic scattering factors
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0416P)^2]$	from International Tables
where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	for Crystallography (1992,
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$	Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.170 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	6.1.1.4)
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.147 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 24^{\circ}$ 

 $h = -1 \rightarrow 10$ 

 $k = -1 \rightarrow 24$ 

 $l = -11 \rightarrow 11$ 

3 standard reflections

reflections

monitored every 97

intensity decay: 1%

# Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

# $U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	у	z	$U_{eq}$
S	0.72936(11)	0.46944 (4)	0.15816(7)	0.0548 (3)
C1	0.6329(3)	0.52643 (12)	0.2691 (2)	0.0373 (7)
N2	0.7100(3)	0.51068 (9)	0.4106(2)	0.0361 (5)
C8	0.7999 (3)	0.45633 (13)	0.4319(3)	0.0411 (7)
C9	0.8122 (4)	0.41796 (12)	0.3038 (3)	0.0504 (8)
C2	0.7044 (3)	0.53861 (13)	0.5414(3)	0.0370 (7)
C7	0.7974 (3)	0.49750 (14)	0.6356(3)	0.0427 (7)
N1	0.8569 (3)	0.44609 (11)	0.5647 (2)	0.0498 (6)
C3	0.6305 (4)	0.59320 (13)	0.5843 (3)	0.0489 (8)
C4	0.6544 (4)	0.60636(15)	0.7272 (3)	0.0605 (9)
C5	0.7480(4)	0.5663 (2)	0.8218 (3)	0.0616 (9)
C6	0.8189 (4)	0.5123 (2)	0.7797 (3)	0.0559 (9)
C10	0.6604 (3)	0.59436 (12)	0.2252 (2)	0.0338 (6)
CII	0.8075(3)	0.62469 (12)	0.2638 (3)	0.0395 (7)
C12	0.8314(3)	0.68650(13)	0.2193 (3)	0.0438 (7)
C16	0.9866 (5)	0.7200(2)	0.2676 (5)	0.0778 (11)
FI†	0.9979 (10)	0.7781 (3)	0.2315 (9)	0.151 (4)
F2†	1.1072 (8)	0.6904 (4)	0.2118 (9)	0.146 (3)
F3†	1.0329 (9)	0.7124 (3)	0.4030(6)	0.125 (3)
F1'‡	0.9660(18)	0.7560 (8)	0.3847 (12)	0.150 (6)
F2'‡	1.1136 (14)	0.6889 (5)	0.287 (2)	0.149 (12)
F3'‡	1.0063 (19)	0.7672 (7)	0.1801 (15)	0.097 (6)
C13	0.7083 (4)	0.71792 (13)	0.1330(3)	0.0465 (8)
C14	0.5617 (3)	0.68795 (12)	0.0949 (2)	0.0393 (7)
C17	0.4299 (4)	0.7234 (2)	0.0050(3)	0.0521 (8)
F4†	0.3661 (13)	0.7685 (4)	0.0765 (11)	0.066 (2)
F5†	0.3096 (10)	0.6888 (4)	-0.0566 (7)	0.085 (2)
F6†	0.4929 (10)	0.7552 (4)	-0.0974 (5)	0.088 (2)
F4′‡	0.450 (2)	0.7348 (10)	-0.1259 (12)	0.138 (10)
F5'‡	0.297 (2)	0.6859 (10)	-0.0029 (17)	0.098 (6)
F6'‡	0.385 (3)	0.7769 (8)	0.060 (3)	0.089 (8)
C15	0.5366 (3)	0.62640(13)	0.1414 (2)	0.0382 (7)
			a <b>a</b> a	

 $\dagger$  Site occupancy = 0.70.  $\ddagger$  Site occupancy = 0.30.

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

SC9	1.821 (3)	N2C2	1.385 (3)
SC1	1.844 (3)	C8N1	1.312 (3)
C1—N2	1.455 (3)	C8C9	1.478 (4)
C1C10	1.507 (3)	C2C7	1.401 (3)
N2C8	1.360 (3)	C7—NI	1.394 (3)
C9—S—C1	95.26(12)	NI	131.0 (2)
N2-C1-C10	114.1 (2)	N2C8C9	115.2 (2)
N2C1S	102.5 (2)	C8C9S	104.6 (2)

C10—C1—S	110.9 (2)	C3C2N2	133.1 (2)
C8—N2—C2	107.4 (2)	N2-C2-C7	103.9 (2)
C8—N2—C1	119.6 (2)	N1C7C6	129.8 (3)
C2—N2—C1	132.8 (2)	N1C7C2	111.1 (2)
N1C8N2	113.8 (2)	C8-N1-C7	103.8 (2)

Reflection intensities were evaluated by profile fitting of a 96-step peak scan over  $2\theta$  shells (Diamond, 1969) and then corrected for Lorentz-polarization effects.

The structure was solved by direct methods and refined using full-matrix least squares with all non-H atoms anisotropic. H atoms were included in calculated positions using a riding model with a common value for  $U_{eq}$ . Rotational disorder of the two CF<sub>3</sub> groups was handled by

Rotational disorder of the two CF<sub>3</sub> groups was handled by splitting them into two staggered positions and fixing their occupancy site; since the F atoms displayed the usual high librational motion associated with terminal CF<sub>3</sub> groups, the repeated attempts by the least-squares programs to position them too close to the central C atoms failed. Finally some weak restraints (the C—F bond lengths were forced to a refined unique mean value and the F-atom  $U_{ij}$  components approximated to isotropic behaviour) were adopted to correct their geometry.

Data collection: P3/V (Siemens, 1989). Cell refinement: P3/V. Data reduction: SHELXTL-Plus (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to solve structure: SIR92 (Altomare et al., 1994). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: SHELXTL-Plus. Software used to prepare material for publication: PARST95 (Nardelli, 1995) and SHELXL93.

We would like to express our gratitude, for support and aid, to the Italian MURST and to the 'Centro Interdipartimentale di Servizi per la Diffrattometria a Raggi-X' of the University of Messina, Italy.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: NA1245). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

## References

- Altomare, A., Cascarano, O., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Burla, M. C., Polidori, G. & Camalli, M. (1994). J. Appl. Cryst. 27, 435– 436.
- Bruno, G., Chimirri, A., Grasso, S., Molica, C., Monforte, A. M., Monforte, P., Nicoló, F. & Zappalá, M. (1996). J. Med. Chem. 40. In the press.
- Chimirri, A., Grasso, S., Monforte, A. M., Monforte, P. & Zappalá, M. (1991a). Il Farmaco, 44, 817–823.
- Chimirri, A., Grasso, S., Monforte, A. M., Monforte, P. & Zappalá, M. (1991b). Il Farmaco, 44, 925–933.

Cremer, D. & Pople, J. A. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 1354–1358. Diamond, R. (1969). Acta Cryst. A25, 43–55.

Kopfmann, G. & Huber, R. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 348-351.

Nardelli, M. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 659.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). SHELXTL-Plus. Release 4.21/V. Siemens Analytical Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Siemens (1989). P3/V. Siemens Analytical Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.